arXiv:1402.1853v2 [math.GT] 26 Oct 2015

HOW DO AUTODIFFEOMORPHISMS ACT ON EMBEDDINGS?

A. Skopenkov

Abstract. We work in the smooth category. The following problem was suggested by E. Rees in 2002: describe the precomposition action of self-diffeomorphisms of $S^p \times S^q$ on the set of isotopy classes of embeddings $S^p \times S^q \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Let $g : S^p \times S^q \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be an embedding such that $g|_{a\times S^q} : a \times S^q \to \mathbb{R}^m - g(b \times S^q)$ is null-homotopic for some pair of different points $a, b \in S^p$.

Theorem. *If ψ is an autodiffeomorphism of $S^p \times S^q$ identical on a neighborhood of $a \times S^q$ for some $a \in S^p$ and $p \le q$ and $2m \ge 3p + 3q + 4$, then $g \circ \psi$ is isotopic to g.*

Let N be an oriented $(p+q)$-manifold and f, g isotopy classes of embeddings $N \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $S^p \times S^q \to \mathbb{R}^m$, respectively. As a corollary we obtain that under certain conditions *for orientation-preserving embeddings $s : S^p \times D^q \to N$ the S^p-parametric embedded connected sum $f\#_s g$ depends only on f, g and the homology class of $s|_{S^p\times 0}$.*

1. Introduction and main results

1.1. Statements of the main results

This paper is on the classical Knotting Problem: *for an n-manifold N and a number m describe the set $E^m(N)$ of isotopy classes of embeddings $N \to \mathbb{R}^m$.* For recent surveys see [Sk08, MA]; whenever possible we refer to these surveys not to original papers. If the category (PL or smooth) is not mentioned, then the smooth category is tacitly meant. We denote by $[f]$ the isotopy class of the embedding f, except in §2.1.

An interesting problem is to describe the 'precomposition' action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(N)$ of autodiffeomorphisms of N on $E^m(N)$:[1]

$$\mathrm{Aut}(N) \times E^m(N) \to E^m(N) \quad \text{defined by} \quad (\varphi, [f]) \mapsto [f] \circ \varphi := [f \circ \varphi].$$

E.g. the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(S^1)$ on $E^3(S^1)$ is the same as widely studied 'change of the orientation' action. For the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(S^2)$ on $E^4(S^2)$ see [Mo83, Hi93, Iw90]. For

$$N = T^{p,q} := S^p \times S^q$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 57R40, 57Q37; Secondary: 57R52.

Key words and phrases. Embedding, isotopy, autodiffeomorphism, parametric connected sum.

This work is supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research Grants No. 12-01-00748-a and 15-01-06302, by Simons-IUM Fellowship and by the D. Zimin's Dynasty Foundation Grant.

I am grateful to S. Avvakumov, D. Crowley, M. Skopenkov and anonymous referee for useful comments.

Addresses. Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Faculty of Innovations and High Technology, Institutskiy per., Dolgoprudnyi, 141700, Russia, and Independent University of Moscow, B. Vlasyevskiy, 11, Moscow, 119002, Russia. e-mail: skopenko@mccme.ru

[1]The set of submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^m$, diffeomorphic to N, up to isotopy, is the quotient of $E^m(N)$ by this action. Action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}_+(N)$ of orientation-preserving autodiffeomorphisms of oriented N is analogously related to the set of oriented submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^m$, orientably diffeomorphic to N.

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

the problem was raised by E. Rees in 2002. We obtain a partial solution of this problem. The main results are Theorems 4, 10, 11, 14, 16 and Corollary 17. The remarks of this text are not used in the statements or proofs of the main results (except that Remarks 7.ac are used for Corollary 17 and Theorem 4, respectively).

Definition 1. Denote by

- $\sigma : S^q \to S^q$ the reflection w.r.t. the hyperplane $x_1 = 0$.
- i_q the isotopy class of the inclusion $S^q \to S^m$.
- $f\#g$ the embedded connected sum of embeddings or isotopy classes, or the connected sum of autodiffeomorphisms f and g. (See [Av14, §1] for an accurate definition of the embedded connected sum of embeddings analogous to [Ha66, §3]. In codimension at least 3 by general position the embedded connected sum of embeddings defines the embedded connected sum of their isotopy classes.)
- 0_k the vector of k zero coordinates and $* := (1, 0_{k-1}) \in D^k$.
- $\bar{\mathrm{i}} = \bar{\mathrm{i}}_{p,q,m} : T^{p,q} \to S^m$ *the standard embedding* defined by $\bar{\mathrm{i}}(x, y) := (y, 0_{m-p-q-1}, x)/\sqrt{2}$, or its abbreviations.
- i the isotopy class of $\bar{\mathrm{i}}$.

Remark 2. (a) For the standard embedding $\bar{\mathrm{i}} : T^{1,1} \to S^3$ and an autodiffeomorphism ψ of $T^{1,1}$ corresponding to a non-trivial element of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ we have $\mathrm{i} \circ \psi \neq \mathrm{i}$. This is proved comparing $g|_{*\times S^1}$, $g|_{S^1\times *}$ and $\mathrm{lk}(g(* \times S^1), g(- * \times S^1))$ for $g = \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i} \circ \psi$.

For the action of $\mathrm{Aut}_+(T^{1,1})$ on $E^4(T^{1,1})$ see [Hi02]. For the case of embeddings $T^{1,2} \to \mathbb{R}^6$, $T^{2,2} \to \mathbb{R}^7$ and $T^{1,3} \to \mathbb{R}^7$ the results of [Sk08', Sk10, CS11, CS] could be useful.

(b) A group structure on $E^m(S^q)$ is defined in [Ha66] for $m \geq q + 3$. The group $E^m(S^q)$ is trivial for $2m \geq 3q + 4$ [Ha66].

(c) It would be interesing to know how composition with σ acts on $E^m(S^q)$. Composition with σ induces an automorphism of $E^m(S^q)$ for $m \geq q + 3$ (this is proved analogously to Theorem 11 below). For $m = q + 3 = 7$ the action is identical [Sk10, Symmetry Remark and footnote in §3].

(d) If $g \in E^m(S^q)$, $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_+(S^q)$ and $m \geq q + 3$, then $g \circ \psi = g + (\mathrm{i}_q \circ \psi)$. The proof is obtained from the proof of Lemma 6 below by changing S^p to a point.

(e) Analogously, take any $g \in E^m(T^{p,q})$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_+(S^{p+q})$.

If $m \geq p + q + 3$, then $g \circ (\mathrm{id}_{T^{p,q}} \#\psi) = g\#(\mathrm{i}_q \circ \psi)$, where $\mathrm{id}_{T^{p,q}} \#\psi$ is the 'connected sum' autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$. (In the notation of [Av14, §1] the connected sum of the autodiffeomorphisms a and b is the autodiffeomorphism $a\#b : M\#N \to M\#N$ defined by $a\#b|_{M_0} = a|_{M_0}$, $a\#b|_{N_0} = b|_{N_0}$ and $a\#b|_{S^{p-1}\times I} = l_{S^{p-1}\times I}$.)

For $m \geq 2p + q + 3$, $g\#(\mathrm{i}_q \circ \psi) = g$ if and only if $\mathrm{i}_q \circ \psi = \mathrm{i}_q$ by [CRS12, Proposition 5.6].

(f) For $q \geq 5$ the set of isotopy classes of $\mathrm{Aut}_+(S^q)$ can be identified with the group θ_{q+1} of homotopy spheres [Sm61, Ce70]. The 'composition with i_q' map $\partial : \theta_{q+1} \to E^m(S^q)$ is a homomorphism appearing in the exact sequence [Ha66, 1.9]. Hence by [Ha66, 1.9] and [Le65, 7.4] we have the following result.

Proposition 3. (a) For $m - 3 = q \in \{7, 8, 9\}$ there is $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_+(S^q)$ such that $\mathrm{i}_q \circ \psi \neq \mathrm{i}_q$.

(b) For $q \in \{7, 8\}$, $m \geq q + 4$ and any $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_+(S^q)$ we have $\mathrm{i}_q \circ \psi = \mathrm{i}_q$.

Theorem 4. *For each integer $l \geq 2$ there is $g \in E^{4l+3}(T^{1,2l+1})$ and an autodiffeomorphism ψ of $T^{1,2l+1}$ identical on a neighborhood of $* \times S^{2l+1}$ and such that $g \circ \psi \neq g$ while $\mathrm{i} \circ \psi = \mathrm{i}$.*

Moreover, we can take as ψ the autodiffeomorphism constructed from the non-trivial element of $\pi_1(SO_{2l+2})$ as in Remark 7.c.

Definition 5. An 'S^p-parametric connected sum' abelian group structure on $E^m(T^{p,q})$ for $m \geq 2p+q+3$ is defined in [Sk15, §2.1, MAP] (the definition is recalled in §2.3).

Lemma 6. *If $g \in E^m(T^{p,q})$, $m \geq 2p+q+3$ and ψ is an autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$ identical on a neighborhood of $S^p \times *$, then $g \circ \psi = g + (\mathrm{i} \circ \psi)$.*

Remark 7. (a) The group $E^m(T^{p,q})$ is trivial for $m \geq p+q+\max\{p,q\}+2$ by the Haefliger Unknotting Theorem [Sk08, Theorem 2.8.b]. Hence *the group structure, Lemmas 6, 9 and Theorems 10, 11 below are only interesting for $p \leq q$.* So a reader might assume that $p \leq q$, although this condititon is not required and so is not added to the statements of the above-listed results.

The lowest dimensional case when $2p+q+3 \leq m < p+q+\max\{p,q\}+2$ is $m=8$, $p=1$ and $q=3$.

(b) The condition that ψ is identical on a neighborhood of $S^p \times *$ is essential in Lemma 6 by Theorem 4.

(c) Let $\varphi : S^q \to SO_{p+1}$ be a smooth map which maps a neighborhood of $* \in S^q$ to the identity. Define an automorphism

$$\overline{\varphi} \quad \text{of} \quad T^{p,q} \quad \text{by} \quad \overline{\varphi}(a,b) := (\varphi(b)a, b).$$

It is clear that $\overline{\varphi}$ is identical on a neighborhood of $S^p \times *$.

(d) It follows from [MR71, Corollary C] that for n odd and $n \neq 3, 5, 9$, there are pairs of embeddings $f_0, f_1 : S^2 \times S^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ such that the normal bundle of f_0 is trivial, whereas the normal bundle of f_1 is not. Consequently, in this case the action of $\mathrm{Aut}_+(S^2 \times S^{n-2})$ on $E^{2n-2}(S^2 \times S^{n-2})$ is not transitive.

Definition 8. Define $D^p_+, D^p_- \subset S^p$ by equations $x_1 \geq 0$, $x_1 \leq 0$, respectively. Then $S^p = D^p_+ \cup D^p_-$. For an autodiffeomorphism α of S^q denote by

$$\widehat{\alpha} := \mathrm{id}_{S^p} \times \alpha$$

the product autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$. An autodiffeomorphism ψ of $T^{p,q}$ is *symmetric* if

$$\psi(S^p \times D^q_\pm) = S^p \times D^q_\pm \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\sigma} \circ \psi = \psi \circ \widehat{\sigma}.$$

Lemma 9. *If $m \geq 2p+q+2$ and ψ is a symmetric autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$, then $\mathrm{i} \circ \psi = \mathrm{i}$.*

Lemmas 6 and 9 imply the following result.

Theorem 10. *If $m \geq 2p+q+3$ and ψ is a symmetric autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$ identical on a neighborhood of $S^p \times *$, then $g \circ \psi = g$ for each $g \in E^m(T^{p,q})$.*

Theorem 11. *For $m \geq 2p+q+3$ the composition with a symmetric autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$ defines an automorphism of the group $E^m(T^{p,q})$.*

Remark 12. (a) The property of being symmetric depends on the order of factors in $S^p \times S^q$, i.e. a symmetric autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$ need not be such of $T^{q,p}$.

(b) For a smooth map $\varphi : S^p \to SO_q \subset SO_{q+1}$ the autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$ defined analogously to Remark 7.c with p and q exchanged, is symmetric and is identical on a neighborhood of $* \times S^q$, but is not necessarily identical on a neighborhood of $S^p \times *$.

(c) It would be interesting to know if there is a symmetric autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$ identical on a neighborhood of $S^p \times *$ but not isotopic to the identity. If there are none, Theorem 10 is not interesting.

(d) It would be interesting to know if either of the conditions (that ψ is symmetric or identical on a neighborhood of $S^p \times *$) is essential in Lemma 9 and Theorems 10, 11.

(e) Theorem 11 is not covered by Theorem 10 for any symmetric orientation-reversing autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$.

(f) The proofs of Lemma 6 and Theorems 10, 11 are not hard (§2). However, working with distinct embeddings having the same image requires care. Lemma 9 is an easy corollary of an unknotting theorem and smoothing theory (§2).

Definition 13. An embedding $g : T^{p,q} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ (or its isotopy class) is called *unlinked* if

$$g|_{*\times S^q} : * \times S^q \to \mathbb{R}^m - g((-*) \times S^q)$$

is null-homotopic. E.g. 'i' is unlinked and any $g \in E^m(T^{p,q})$ is unlinked for $m \geq 2q+2$.

Theorem 14. *Suppose that $p \leq q$, $g \in E^m(T^{p,q})$ is unlinked and ψ is an autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$ identical on a neighborhood of $* \times S^q$.*

(a) If $2m \geq 3p+3q+4$, then $g \circ \psi = g$.

(b) If $m \geq p+q+2+\max\{p, q/2\}$, then $g \circ \psi = g\#u$ for some $u \in E^m(S^{p+q})$.

Remark 15. (a) Theorem 14.a follows from Theorem 14.b because $E^m(S^n) = 0$ for $2m \geq 3n+4$.

(b) The lowest dimensional case when Theorem 14 holds but is not covered by known results is $m = 6$, $p = 1$ and $q = 2$. In this case $E^6(S^1 \times S^2)$ is described in [Sk08'] and $\mathrm{Aut}_+(S^1 \times S^2)$ contains a subgroup $\pi_1(SO_3)$ (cf. Remark 7.c).

(c) Theorem 4 falls into the dimension assumption of Theorem 14 and so shows that the unlinkedness assumption in Theorem 14 is essential.

(d) Theorem 14.b is obtained from Lemma 20 below, an application of the Penrose-Whitehead-Zeeman-Irwin trick [Sk02, Theorem 2.4] and the Hudson 'concordance implies isotopy' result. See details after Lemma 20 below.

(e) The PL analogues of Lemmas 6, 9 and Theorems 10, 11, 14, 16 are true. The proofs are the same (except that in Lemma 21 we do not need smoothing).

(f) It would be interesting to describe the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(D^p \times S^q)$ on $E^m(D^p \times S^q)$. Analogues for $D^p \times S^q$ of our results on $T^{p,q}$ are correct and could be useful.

(g) We do not use any results on $\mathrm{Aut}(T^{p,q})$. However, the interested reader can find information e.g. in [Le69].

1.2. Application to S^p-parametric embedded connected sum

In the rest of this paper N is a compact n-manifold and $f \in E^m(N)$.

For $m \geq n+3$, an embedding $s : D^n \to \mathrm{Int}\, N$ and $g \in E^m(S^n)$ one can define an embedded connected sum $f\#_s g$ (analogously to the embedded connected sum on $E^m(S^n)$ [Ha66]). A classical interesting question is

when does $f\#_s g$ depend only on f, g and the component of N containing $s(D^n)$?[2]

If N is connected oriented, then $f\#_s g$ is independent on orientation-preserving s (because every two orientation-preserving embeddings of a disk into a connected oriented manifold are isotopic). So for $m \geq n+3$ a group structure on $E^m(S^n)$ [Ha66] and an action $\#$ of $E^m(S^n)$ on $E^m(N)$ are defined. For descriptions of this action see [Sk08', Sk10, CS11, Sk11, CRS12, Proposition 5.6, CS].

[2]A sufficient condition for this is non-orientability or non-closedness of the component, or $\mathrm{i} \circ \sigma = \mathrm{i}$. We conjecture that this sufficient condition is not necessary.
Analogous remark should be made for $S^0 \times S^n$-analogue of embedded connected sum discussed below.

For $m \geq n+p+3$, an embedding $s : S^p \times D^{n-p}_- \to \operatorname{Int} N$ and $g \in E^m(T^{p,n-p})$ one can define an 'S^p-parametric embedded connected sum' $f\#_s g$ [MAP, Sk07, pp. 262-264, Sk10', §2] (see the definition in §2.3). This defines a group structure on $E^m(T^{p,n-p})$ [Sk06, Sk15] and an action $\#_s$ of $E^m(T^{p,n-p})$ on $E^m(N)$ (because $(f\#_s g)\#_s g' = f\#_s(g+g')$ analogously to [Sk15, §3, proof of the associativity in the proof of the Group Structure Theorem 2.2]).

We study the following interesting questions (which are classical for $p=0$):

when does $f\#_s g$ depend only on f, g and the isotopy (homotopy, homology) class of $s|_{S^p\times 0}$?

A relation of these questions to action by autodiffeomorphisms is as follows.

Theorem 16. *Let N be an n-manifold, $f \in E^m(N)$, $g \in E^m(T^{p,n-p})$, $s : S^p \times D^{n-p}_- \to \operatorname{Int} N$ an embedding and ψ a symmetric autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,n-p}$. If $m \geq n+p+3$, then $f\#_s g = f\#_{s\circ\psi|_{S^p\times D^{n-p}_-}}(g\circ\psi)$.*

Corollary 17. *(I) Let N be an oriented n-manifold, $g \in E^m(T^{p,n-p})$ unlinked, $s : S^p \times D^{n-p} \to \operatorname{Int} N$ an orientation-preserving embedding, $f \in E^m(N)$ and $m \geq n+p+3$, $2m \geq 3n+4$.*

(a) The sum $f\#_s g$ depends only on f, g and the isotopy class of $s|_{S^p\times 0}$.

(b) If N is $(2p+2-n)$-connected, then $f\#_s g$ depends only on f, g and the homotopy class of $s|_{S^p\times 0}$.

(c) If $p \geq 2$ and N is $(p-1)$-connected, then $f\#_s g$ depends only on f, g and the homology class of $s|_{S^p\times 0}$ in $H_p(N;\mathbb{Z})$.

(II) Modifications of the statements (a), (b) and (c) above hold with '$f\#_s g$' replaced by 'the class of $f\#_s g$ in $E^m(N)/\#$' and '$2m \geq 3n+4$' replaced by '$2m \geq 3n-p+4$'.

Remark 18. (a) Let N be an oriented $(p-1)$-connected n-manifold and $n \geq 2p+2$. Then the Whitney invariant $W : E^{2n-p+1}(N) \to H_p(N;\mathbb{Z}_{(n-p-1)})$ is bijective. ($W(f)$ is a 'difference' between f and certain chosen embedding, see the definition and the statement in [Sk08, §2, MAW].) Here $\mathbb{Z}_{(k)}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ for k even and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ for k odd. 'The parametric connected sum' construction together with explicit construction $\tau : \mathbb{Z}_{(n-p-1)} \to E^{2n-p+1}(T^{p,n-p})$ of embeddings [Sk08, §3.4, MAK] give the inverse of W. That is,

for each $f \in E^{2n-p+1}(N)$ an action $\#$ of $H_p(N;\mathbb{Z}_{(n-p-1)})$ on $E^{2n-p+1}(N)$ is well-defined by $\#([s]\otimes r)f := f\#_s\tau(r)$ and is free and transitive.

Indeed, by [Sk10', end of §2]

$$(**) \qquad W(f\#_s g) = W(f) + [s](W(g)\cap[S^p\times *]), \quad \text{where} \quad W(g) \in H_p(T^{p,n-p};\mathbb{Z}_{(n-p-1)}).$$

Hence $W(f\#_u g) = W((f\#_s g)\#_t g)$ when $[u] = [s]+[t] \in H_p(N;\mathbb{Z}_{(n-p-1)})$. Since W is injective, $f\#_u g = (f\#_s g)\#_t g$. This and $(f\#_s g)\#_s g' = f\#_s(g+g')$ imply that $\#$ is an action. By (**), $\#$ is free. By the injectivity of W and (**), $\#$ is transitive.

(b) Denote by $E^m_0(T^{p,n-p})$ the subgroup of unlinked embeddings in $E^m(T^{p,n-p})$. Under the assumptions of Corollary 17.c for $2m \geq 3n+4$ a map

$$H_p(N;\mathbb{Z}) \times E^m_0(T^{p,n-p}) \times E^m(N) \to E^m(N) \quad \text{is well-defined by} \quad ([s], g, f) \mapsto f\#_s g.$$

We conjecture that this map gives an action of $H_p(N; E^m_0(T^{p,n-p}))$.

(c) Corollary 17 is trivial for $p=0$. We conjecture that the assumption $p \geq 2$ is superfuous in Corollary 17.c.

(d) Fix a certain smooth triangulation of N. Embed N into $\mathbb{R}^M$ for some large M. Denote by ON a tubular neighborhood and by $\nu_N : ON \to N$ the normal bundle of N in $\mathbb{R}^M$. A *stable normal framing* on a subset $X \subset N \subset \mathbb{R}^M$ is an embedding $\zeta : X \times D^{M-n} \to ON$ such

that $\zeta(a\times D^{M-n})=\nu_N^{-1}(a)$ for each $a\in X$.[3] An embedding $s:S^p\times D^{n-p}\to N$ is *ζ-good* if $\zeta\circ s$ is the standard stable normal framing of S^p.

Let ζ be a stable normal framing of an open neighborhood U in N of the $(p+1)$-skeleton (of the triangulation) of N. For ζ-good embeddings $s:S^p\times D^{n-p}\to\operatorname{Int}N$, $f\#_s g$ depends only on $f\in E^m(N), g\in E^m(T^{p,n-p})$ and the isotopy class of $s|_{S^p\times 0}$. (Then analogously to Corollary 17.bc one obtains analogous assertions for homotopy and homology class of $s|_{S^p\times 0}$.)

Proof. Take two good embeddings $s,s':S^p\times D^{n-p}\to N$ isotopic on $S^p\times 0$. By general position we may assume that the image of the isotopy between $s|_{S^p\times 0}$ and $s'|_{S^p\times 0}$ is contained in U. Hence the isotopy can be extended to an isotopy of $S^p\times D^{n-p}$ between s and a good embedding $s'':S^p\times D^{n-p}\to N$ coinciding with s' on $S^p\times 0$. Since both s' and s'' are good, they are isotopic. Hence s and s' are isotopic. □

2. Proofs

2.1. Proof of Theorem 4

Denote by $[a]$ the homotopy class of a map a. The following lemma is possibly known.

Lemma 19. *For each $n\geq 2$ there is an autodiffeomorphism ψ of $T^{1,n-1}$ identical on a neighborhood of $*\times S^{n-1}$ for which $\operatorname{pr}_{S^{n-1}}\circ\psi$ is not homotopic to $\operatorname{pr}_{S^{n-1}}$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi:S^1\to SO_n$ be a homotopy non-trivial map which maps a neighborhood of $*\in S^1$ to the identity. Define an automorphism ψ of $T^{1,n-1}$ by $\psi(a,b):=(a,\varphi(a)b)$. Clearly, ψ is identical on a neighborhood of $*\times S^{n-1}$. Let SG_n be the space of maps $S^{n-1}\to S^{n-1}$ of degree $+1$, the base point being the identity. Identify by the exponential law $\pi_1(SG_n)$ and the set of maps $S^1\times S^{n-1}\to S^{n-1}$ mapping $(*,x)$ to x for each $x\in S^{n-1}$, up to homotopy through such maps. Let $i:\pi_1(SO_n)\to\pi_1(SG_n)$ the inclusion-induced map. It is known that i is an isomorphism and $[\operatorname{pr}_{S^{n-1}}\circ\psi]=i[\varphi]\neq i[*]=[\operatorname{pr}_{S^{n-1}}]$. So $\operatorname{pr}_{S^{n-1}}\circ\psi$ is not homotopic to $\operatorname{pr}_{S^{n-1}}$. □

Construction of example from Theorem 4. Denote $n:=2l+2$. Take an autodiffeomorphism ψ of $T^{1,n-1}$ given by Lemma 19. Let $v:S^{n-1}\to S^{n-1}$ be a unit length tangent vector field on S^{n-1} whose degree is $+1$. I.e. v is a degree $+1$ map such that $v(x)\perp x$ for each $x\in S^{n-1}$. Let $\overline{g}$ be the composition

$$T^{1,n-1}\xrightarrow{\widehat{v}}T^{n-1,n-1}\xrightarrow{\bar{\imath}}\mathbb{R}^{2n-1},\quad\text{where}\quad\widehat{v}(e^{i\theta},x):=(v(x)\cos\theta+x\sin\theta,x).$$

Since $v(x)\perp x$ for each $x\in S^{n-1}$, the map $\widehat{v}$ is well-defined. Let g be the isotopy class of $\overline{g}$.

By Theorem 14.a $\mathfrak{i}\circ\psi=\mathfrak{i}$. For the proof that $g\circ\psi\neq g$ we need some preliminaries.

Definition of $L(f)\in\pi_n(S^{n-1})$ for an embedding $f:T^{1,n-1}\to\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ coinciding with $\overline{g}$ on $D^1_+\times S^{n-1}$. Denote by $L'(f)$ the homotopy class of the composition

$$(f\circ(\sigma|_{D^1_+}\times\operatorname{id}_{S^{n-1}}))\cup\overline{g}|_{D^1_-\times S^{n-1}}:T^{1,n-1}\to S^{2n-1}-\overline{g}(1\times S^{n-1})\overset{h}{\simeq}S^{n-1},$$

where h is a homotopy equivalence of degree $+1$ [Sk08, §3, MAL]. For each f as above the restriction of the above composition to $*\times S^{n-1}$ is homotopic to $\operatorname{pr}_{S^{n-1}}|_{*\times S^{n-1}}$. Consider the Barrat-Puppe exact sequence:

$$\pi_n(S^{n-1})\xrightarrow{\#}[T^{1,n-1},S^{n-1}]\xrightarrow{r}[1\times S^{n-1},S^{n-1}],$$

[3] A stable normal framing on the $(p+1)$-skeleton of N is the same as a lifting $N\to BO\langle p+1\rangle$ of the stable Gauss map $N\to BO$ [Kr99]. A stable normal framing over the 0-skeleton extendable to the 1-skeleton is the same as an orientation on N. A stable normal framing over the 1-skeleton extendable to the 2-skeleton is the same as a spin structure on N.

where r is the restriction and $\#$ extends to the 'top cell' action of $\pi_n(S^{n-1})$ on $[T^{1,n-1}, S^{n-1}]$. It is well-known that this action is free, see e.g. [RSS05]. Hence there is a unique class $L(f) \in \pi_n(S^{n-1})$ such that $[L'(f)] = [\mathrm{pr}_{S^{n-1}}]\#L(f)$.

Definition of map $\mu : \pi_{p+q}(S^{m-q-1}) \to E^m(T^{p,q})$ *for* $2m \geq 3p+3q+4$. For each $x \in \pi_{p+q}(S^{m-q-1})$ take a map x' such that

$$S^{p+q} \xrightarrow{x'} S^m - \overline{\mathrm{i}}(D^{p+1} \times S^q) \xrightarrow{h} S^{m-q-1}$$

represents x. Here h is a homotopy equivalence of degree $+1$ [Sk08, §3, MAL] and $\mathrm{i}(x,y) := (y\sqrt{2-|x|^2}, 0_l, x)/\sqrt{2}$. Since $2m \geq 3p+3q+4$, there is unique up to isotopy embedding x'' homotopic to x'. Let $\mu(x)$ be the isotopy class of $\mathrm{i}\#x''$.

Proof that $L(f)$ *is an isotopy invariant of* f *for* $n \geq 6$ *even.*[4] For each $x \in \pi_n(S^{n-1})$ by definition of sum (recalled before proof of Lemma 6 below) f is a representative of $\mu L(f) + g$. Hence it suffices to prove that μ is injective.

For $n \geq 6$ *there is the following commutative (up to sign) diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_n(S^{n-1}) & \underset{\Delta}{\longrightarrow} & \pi_{n-1}(S^{n-2}) & & \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \Sigma & & \\ E^{2n}(D^1 \times S^n) & \underset{\lambda}{\to} & \pi_n(S^{n-1}) & \underset{\mu}{\to} & E^{2n-1}(T^{1,n-1}) \end{array} .$$

Here Δ *is the map from the exact sequence of the 'forgetting the last vector' bundle* $S^{n-2} \to V_{n,2} \to S^{n-1}$*, the lower line is exact and* τ *is an isomorphism.*

This follows by [Sk06, Lemma 5.1 and Restriction Lemma 5.2] for $p=1$, $q=n-1$ and $m=2n-1$, because $2(2n-1) \geq 3n+4 > 10$ for $n \geq 6$, so by the smooth version of [Sk02, Theorem 2.4] the map forg in [Sk06, p.15] is an isomorphism 'respecting' the map μ (definitions of τ, λ [Sk06, §5] are not used here).

We have $\Delta\Sigma x = (1-(-1)^n)x = 0$ for each $x \in \pi_{n-1}(S^{n-2})$ [JW54]. Since $n < 2(n-1)-2$, the map Σ is an isomorphism. Hence $\Delta = 0$. Since both τ and Σ are isomorphisms, this implies that $\lambda = 0$. Hence by exactness μ is injective. □

Proof that $g \circ \psi \neq g$ *for* $n \geq 6$ *even.* We may assume that ψ is identical on $D^1_+ \times S^{n-1}$. Hence $\overline{g} \circ \psi = \overline{g}$ on $D^1_+ \times S^{n-1}$. Thus $L(\overline{g} \circ \psi)$ is defined. Clearly, $L'(\overline{g} \circ \psi) = L'(\overline{g}) \circ [\psi] = [\mathrm{pr}_{S^{n-1}} \circ \psi] \neq [\mathrm{pr}_{S^{n-1}}]$ by Lemma 19. Hence $L(\overline{g} \circ \psi) \neq 0$. Thus $g \circ \psi \neq g$. □

2.2. Proof of Theorem 14.b

The *self-intersection set* of a map $F : N \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is

$$\Sigma(F) := \{x \in N \mid \#F^{-1}F(x) \geq 1\}.$$

Lemma 20. *Let* $g : T^{p,q} \to \operatorname{Int} B^m$ *be an unlinked embedding and* ψ *an autodiffeomorphism of* $T^{p,q}$ *identical on a neighborhood of* $* \times S^q$*. Then there are a neighborhood* Δ *of* $* \times S^q$ *and a homotopy* $G : T^{p,q} \times I \to B^m \times I$ *between* $g \circ \psi$ *and* g *such that* $\Sigma(G) \subset (T^{p,q} - \Delta) \times I$ *and* $G|_{\Delta \times I}$ *is the identical homotopy.*

[4] It is not clear that $L(f)$ is preserved through an isotopy of f non-identical on $D^1_+ \times S^{n-1}$.
We conjecture that $L(f)$ is an isotopy invariant of f for $n=4$ (then Theorem 4 holds for $l=1$). For a proof one possibly needs the results of [CS]. Note that $L(f) = \beta(f)$ for the more complicated β-invariant of [CS].

Proof. We may assume that ψ is identical on $D^p_+ \times S^q$. The abbreviations

$$g_1, g_2 : D^p_- \times S^q \to B^m - g(* \times S^q) \quad \text{of} \quad g \quad \text{and} \quad g \circ \psi$$

coincide on the boundary. So they form together a map

$$g_{12} := g \circ (\sigma \times \mathrm{id}_{S^q} \cup \psi|_{D^p_- \times S^q}) : T^{p,q} \to B^m - g(* \times S^q).$$

This map factors through the inclusion $g(D^p_- \times S^q) \to B^m - g(* \times S^q)$. Since g is unlinked, this inclusion is null-homotopic. Hence there is a null-homotopy G_{12} of g_{12}. Denode by $\operatorname{con} X := X \times I / X \times 1$ the cone of X. Take the composition

$$D^p_- \times S^q \times I = D^p \times I \times S^q \overset{\alpha \times \mathrm{id}_{S^q}}{\to} (\operatorname{con} S^p) \times S^q = \frac{T^{p,q} \times I}{\{S^p \times y \times 1\}_{y \in S^q}} \overset{\beta}{\to}$$

$$\overset{\beta}{\to} \frac{T^{p,q} \times I}{T^{p,q} \times 1} = \operatorname{con} T^{p,q} \overset{G_{12}}{\to} B^m - g(* \times S^q), \quad \text{where}$$

• α is the contraction of $x \times I$ to $[x \times 0] \in \operatorname{con} S^p$ for each $x \in \partial D^p = S^{p-1}$; α maps $\partial(D^p \times I)$ to the base $[S^p \times 0]$ of the cone and $0 \times 1/2$ to the vertex $[S^p \times 0]$ of the cone;

• β is the contraction of the quotient of $T^{p,q} \times 1$ to the vertex of the cone.

There is a neighborhood Δ of $*$ in S^p such that $g(\Delta \times S^q) \cap G_{12}(\operatorname{con} T^{p,q}) = \emptyset$.

Let $D^p_k = D^p_+, D^p_-$ according to $k = 0, 1$, respectively. We have for $k = 0, 1$

$$D^p_- \times S^q \times k = D^p_- \times k \times S^q \overset{\alpha \times \mathrm{id}_{S^q}}{\to} [D^p_k \times 0] \times S^q = [D^p_k \times S^q \times 0] \overset{\beta}{\to} [D^p_k \times S^q \times 0],$$

$$G_{12}|_{D^p_+ \times S^q \times 0} = g_1 \circ (\sigma \times \mathrm{id}_{S^q}) \quad \text{and} \quad G_{12}|_{D^p_- \times S^q \times 0} = g_2.$$

Hence the above composition $G_{12} \circ \beta \circ (\alpha \times \mathrm{id}_{S^q})$ is a homotopy between g_1 and g_2 relative to the boundary. The 'union' of this homotopy with the identical homotopy of $D^p_+ \times S^q$ is the required homotopy G. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 14.b. Denote a representative of g by the same letter g. Take Δ and G given by Lemma 20. We may assume that $\Delta = D^p_+ \times S^q$ and $\Sigma(G) \subset D^p_- \times S^q \times [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$. Since $m \geq 2p + q + 2$, by general position we may assume that $\Sigma(G) \subset D^p_- \times D^q_- \times [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$, cf. [Sk07, footnote 6]. This means that G is a proper *quasi embedding* (see the definition in [Sk02, §2]). For $p = 0$ Theorem 14.b is trivial, so we may assume that $m + 1 \geq p + q + 1 + 3$. Also $2(m+1) \geq 3(p+q+1) + 2 - p + 1$. Therefore we can apply [Sk02, Theorem 2.4] to G. We obtain a PL concordance

$$F \quad \text{between} \quad g \quad \text{and} \quad g \circ \psi \quad \text{such that} \quad F = G \quad \text{on} \quad (T^{p,q} - D^p_- \times D^q_-) \times [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}].$$

Then F is a smooth embedding on this set. Denote by $u : S^{p+q} \to B^m \times 0$ a smooth embedding representing minus the complete obstruction in $E^m(S^{p+q})$ to smoothing F [BH70, Bo71]. Change concordance F by boundary embedded connected sum with the cone (whose vertex is in $B^m \times (0,1)$) over the embedding u. The obstruction to smoothing of the new concordance is zero. Therefore $g \circ \psi$ is smoothly concordant to $g \# u$. Hence $g \circ \psi$ is smoothly isotopic to $g \# u$ [Hu69]. $\square$

2.3. Proof of Lemmas 6, 9, Theorem 11 and Corollary 17

In this subsection we omit the composition sign $f \circ g$, writing fg for $f \circ g$.

Let N be an n-manifold and $s : S^p \times D^{n-p}_- \to N$ an embedding. A map $f : N \to S^m$ is called *s-standardized* if

$$f(N - \operatorname{im} s) \subset \operatorname{Int} D^m_+ \quad \text{and} \quad fs = \bar{\mathrm{i}}.$$

Denote by $i : S^p \times D^{n-p}_- \to T^{p,n-p}$ the inclusion. Unless for the proof of Theorem 16 at the end of this subsection a reader may assume that $N = T^{p,n-p}$ and $s = i$.

Denote by R the reflection of $\mathbb{R}^m$ with respect to $0 \times 0 \times \mathbb{R}^{m-2}$, and also abbreviations of this reflection. Since $m \geq n+p+2$, analogously to [Sk15, Standardization Lemma 2.1] there are an s-standardized representative $\overline{f}$ of $f \in E^m(N)$ and an i-standardized representative $\overline{g}$ of $g \in E^m(T^{p,n-p})$. Then a representative $\overline{h}$ of $f \#_s g$ is defined by

$$(*) \qquad \overline{h}(a) := \begin{cases} \overline{f}(a) & a \notin \operatorname{im} s \\ R\overline{g}\widehat{R}s^{-1}(a) & a \in \operatorname{im} s \end{cases}.$$

The two formulas agree on $\partial \operatorname{im} s$ because $\bar{\mathrm{i}} = R\bar{\mathrm{i}}\widehat{R}$; clearly, h is a (C^1-smooth) embedding. For $m \geq n+p+3$

• this gives a well-defined map $E^m(N) \times E^m(T^{p,n-p}) \to E^m(N)$ (analogously to [Sk15, §3, beginning of the proof of the Group Structure Theorem 2.2]).[5]

• $+ := \#_i$ gives a well-defined abelian group structure on $E^m(T^{p,n-p})$ [Sk15, §2.1].

Let R^t be the rotation of $\mathbb{R}^m$ whose restriction to the plane $\mathbb{R}^2 \times 0$ is the rotation through the angle $+\pi t$ and which leaves the orthogonal complement $0 \times \mathbb{R}^{m-2}$ fixed.

Proof of Lemma 6. Let $\mathrm{i}_\psi := R\bar{\mathrm{i}}\psi\widehat{R}$. We may assume that ψ is identical on $S^p \times D^q_+$. This,

$$R(D^m_\pm) = D^m_\mp, \quad \widehat{R}(S^p \times D^q_\pm) = S^p \times D^q_\mp \quad \text{and} \quad R\bar{\mathrm{i}}\widehat{R} = \bar{\mathrm{i}}$$

imply that embedding i_ψ is i-standardized. There is an isotopy $R^t\bar{\mathrm{i}}\psi\widehat{R^t}$ between i_ψ and $\bar{\mathrm{i}}\psi$. By the Standardization Lemma of [Sk07, Sk10'] there is a standardized representative $\overline{g}$ of g. Then a representative h of $g + \mathrm{i}\,\psi$ is defined by (*) for $\overline{f}, \overline{g}, s$ replaced by $\overline{g}, \mathrm{i}_\psi, i$, respectively. We have $h = \overline{g} = \overline{g}\psi$ on $S^p \times D^q_+$ and $h = Ri_\psi\widehat{R} = \bar{\mathrm{i}}\psi = \overline{g}\psi$ on $S^p \times D^q_-$. Hence $h = \overline{g}\psi$. □

Lemma 21. *Any two proper embeddings $S^p \times D^q \to B^m$ are properly isotopic for $m \geq 2p+q+2$.*

Proof. The pair $(S^p \times D^q, S^p \times \partial D^q)$ is $(q-1)$-connected. Since $m \geq 2p+q+2$, this pair is $(2(p+q)-m+1)$-connected. Therefore any two proper embeddings $S^p \times D^q \to B^m$ are properly PL isotopic [Hu69, Theorem 10.2].

Obstructions to smoothing this isotopy (moving $S^p \times \partial D^q$ in ∂B^m) are in $H^j(S^p \times D^q; E^{m-p-q+j}(S^j))$ [Ha67, BH70, Bo71]. The only non-trivial obstruction could appear for $j = p$. Since $m-p-q \geq 2p+2$, we have $2(m-q) \geq 2(2p+2) \geq 3p+4$, so this obstruction is zero. □

[5] In [Sk10, Definition 1.4 of the action b] it was essentially constructed an action $b : H_p(N; \pi^S_{2n-p-1-m}) \to E^m(N)$ for a closed orientable $(p-1)$-connected n-manifold N and $2m \geq 3n+4-p$. There is a map $\pi^S_{2n-p-1-m} = \pi_{n-p-1}(S^{m-n}) \xrightarrow{\mu} \pi_{n-p-1}(V_{m-n+p,p+1}) \xrightarrow{\tau} E^m(T^{p,n-p})$ [Sk08, 3.4, MAK] whose image consists of unlinked embeddings. We have $b([s] \otimes x, f) = f \#_s \tau\mu(x)$. The set of unlinked embeddings is $\operatorname{im}(\tau\mu)$ if either $p = 1$ or $m \geq 2n-p$. So the action b is the 'top cell part' of the map $([s], g, f) \mapsto f \#_s g$. The 'top cell part' is the same as the whole map if either $p = 1$ or $m \geq 2n-p$.

In this subsection we denote by the same letter a symmetric autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$ and its abbreviation $S^p \times D^q_\pm \to S^p \times D^q_\pm$.

Lemma 9 is implied by the following Lemma 9'.a.[6]

Lemma 9'. *Let ψ be a symmetric autodiffeomorphism of $T^{p,q}$.*

(a) If $m \geq 2p+q+2$, then there is an isotopy $H_t : S^m \to S^m$ of the identity map H_0 such that $H_1\bar{\imath}\psi = \bar{\imath}$ and $H_1(D^m_\pm) = D^m_\pm$.

(b) If H_t is an isotopy from (a), embedding $\overline{g} : T^{p,q} \to S^m$ is i-standardized and embedding $\overline{f} : N \to S^m$ is s-standardized, then

- *embedding $H_1\overline{f}$ is $s\psi$-standardized;*
- *embedding $H_1\overline{g}\psi$ is i-standardized;*
- *embedding $\overline{g}_\psi := RH_1R\overline{g}\widehat{R}\psi\widehat{R}$ is i-standardized and isotopic to $\overline{g}\psi$.*

Proof of (a). By Lemma 21 there is an isotopy between $\bar{\imath} : S^p \times D^q_+ \to D^m_+$ and $\bar{\imath}\psi : S^p \times D^q_+ \to D^m_+$. Since ψ is symmetric and being proper includes being orthogonal near the boundary, the symmetric extension of the above isotopy w.r.t. the hyperplane $x_1 = 0$ is a smooth isotopy. This extension is as required. □

Proof of (b). We have

$$H_1\overline{f}s\psi = H_1\bar{\imath}\psi = \bar{\imath} \quad \text{on} \quad S^p \times D^q_- \quad \text{and} \quad H_1\overline{f}(N - \operatorname{im} s) \subset H_1(D^m_+) = D^m_+.$$

Thus $H_1\overline{f}$ is $s\psi$-standardized.

Clearly, *an embedding $\overline{g} : T^{p,n-p} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is i-standardized if and only if $\overline{g}\psi$ is $\psi^{-1}i$-standardized.* So the second bullet point follows from the first one.

Both ψ and $\widehat{R}$ preserve $S^p \times D^q_\pm$, both H_1 and R preserve $D^m_\pm$, both $\overline{g}$ and $H_1\overline{g}\psi$ are i-standardized, and $\bar{\imath} = R\bar{\imath}\widehat{R} = H_1\bar{\imath}\psi$. Hence embedding $\overline{g}_\psi = R(H_1(R\overline{g}\widehat{R})\psi)\widehat{R}$ is i-standardized. Then $R^tH_tR^t\overline{g}\widehat{R}^t\psi\widehat{R}^t$ is an isotopy between $\overline{g}_\psi$ and $\overline{g}\psi$. □

Proof of Theorem 11. Precomposition with ψ defines a self-bijection of $E^m(T^{p,q})$.

Take i-standardized representatives $\overline{g}, \overline{g}'$ of $g, g' \in E^m(T^{p,q})$. Then a representative $\overline{h}$ of $g' + g$ is defined by (*) for $\overline{f} = \overline{g}'$, $\overline{g} = \overline{g}$ and $s = i$. Take an an isotopy H_t given by Lemma 9'.a. Then by Lemma 9'.b $H_1\overline{g}'\psi$ and $\overline{g}_\psi$ are i-standardized. Hence by Lemma 9'.b a representative $\overline{h}_\psi$ of $g'\psi + g\psi$ is defined by (*) for $\overline{f} = H_1\overline{g}'\psi$, $\overline{g} = \overline{g}_\psi$ and $s = i$. We have $g'\psi + g\psi = (g' + g)\psi$ because

$$\begin{cases} \overline{h}_\psi = H_1\overline{g}'\psi = H_1\overline{h}\psi & \text{on } S^p \times D^q_+ \\ \overline{h}_\psi = R\overline{g}_\psi\widehat{R} = H_1R\overline{g}\widehat{R}\psi = H_1\overline{h}\psi & \text{on } S^p \times D^q_- \end{cases}.$$

Thus composition with ψ defines an automorpism of $E^m(T^{p,q})$. □

Proof of Theorem 16. By the Standardization Lemma of [Sk07, Sk10'] there are s-standardized and i-standardized representatives $\overline{f}$ of f and $\overline{g}$ of g, respectively. Then a representative $\overline{h}$ of $f\#_s g$ is defined by (*). Take an isotopy H_t given by Lemma 9'.a. Then by Lemma 9'.b $H_1\overline{f}$ is $s\psi$-standardized and $\overline{g}_\psi$ is i-standardized. Hence by Lemma 9'.b a representative $\overline{h}_\psi$ of $f\#_{s\psi}g\psi$ is defined by (*) for $\overline{f}$, $\overline{g}$ and s replaced by $H_1\overline{f}$, $\overline{g}_\psi$ and $s\psi$, respectively. We have $f\#_s g = f\#_{s\psi}g\psi$ because

$$\begin{cases} \overline{h}_\psi = H_1\overline{f} = H_1\overline{h} & \text{on } N - \operatorname{Int}\operatorname{im} s \\ \overline{h}_\psi = R\overline{g}_\psi\widehat{R}\psi^{-1}s^{-1} = H_1R\overline{g}\widehat{R}s^{-1} = H_1\overline{h} & \text{on } \operatorname{im} s \end{cases}. \qquad \square$$

[6]Lemma 9 is used in the proof of Theorem 11. So although Lemma 9 for $m \geq 2p+q+3$ follows from Theorem 11, Lemma 9 for $m \geq 2p+q+3$ is not a corollary of Theorem 11.

Proof of Corollary 17. If $2p+2>n$, then $m\ge n+p+3\ge n+\max\{p,n-p\}+2$. Hence $g=\mathrm{i}$ by Remark 7.a. Then $f\#_s g=f$. So we may assume that $2p+2\le n$.

Part (a) follows by Theorems 14 and 16 because $p\le n-p$ and any orientation-preserving embedding $s:S^p\times D^{n-p}\to N$ extending given $s|_{S^p\times 0}$ is isotopic to the composition of one fixed such embedding with an autodiffeomorphism of $S^p\times D^{n-p}$ defined by $(a,b)\mapsto(a,\varphi(a)b)$ for certain map $\varphi:S^p\to SO_{n-p}$.[7]

Part (b) follows by (a) and the analogue of the Haefliger Unknotting Theorem [Sk08, Theorem 2.8.b] for embeddings $S^p\to N$ because $2n\ge 4p+4\ge 3p+4$.

Part (c) follows by (b) and the Hurewicz Theorem because $n\ge 2p+2\ge 6\Rightarrow p-1\ge 2p+2-n$. $\square$

References

[Av 14] S. Avvakumov, *The classification of certain linked 3-manifolds in 6-space*; arXiv:1408.3918 [math.GT].

[BH70] J. Boéchat and A. Haefliger, *Plongements différentiables de variétés orientées de dimension 4 dans* $\mathbb{R}^7$, Essays on topology and related topics (Springer,1970) (1970), 156–166.

[Bo71] J. Boechat, *Plongements differentiables de varietes de dimension* $4k$ *dans* $\mathbb{R}^{6k+1}$, Comment. Math. Helv. **46:2** (1971), 141–161.

[Ce70] J. Cerf, *La stratification naturelle des espaces de fonctions différentiables réelles et le théorème de la pseudo-isotopie*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **39** (1970), 5–173.

[CRS12] M. Cencelj, D. Repovš and M. Skopenkov, *Classification of knotted tori in the 2-metastable dimension*, Mat. Sbornik **203:11** (2012), 1654-1681; arXiv:math/0811.2745.

[CS11] D. Crowley and A. Skopenkov, *A classification of smooth embeddings of 4-manifolds in 7-space, II*, Internat. J. Math. **22:6** (2011), 731-757; arxiv:math/0808.1795.

[CS] D. Crowley and A. Skopenkov, *A classification of smooth embeddings of 4-manifolds in 7-space, III, draft*.

[Ha66] A. Haefliger, *Differentiable embeddings of* S^n *in* S^{n+q} *for* $q>2$, Ann. Math., Ser.3 **83** (1966), 402–436.

[Ha67] A. Haefliger, *Lissage des immersions-I*, Topology **6** (1967), 221–240.

[Hi93] S. Hirose, *On diffeomorphisms over* T^2*-knot*, Proc. of A.M.S. **119** (1993), 10091018.

[Hi02] S. Hirose, *On diffeomorphisms over surfaces trivially embedded in the 4-sphere*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **2** (2002), 791-824; arxiv:math/0211019.

[Hu69] J. F. P. Hudson, *Piecewise-Linear Topology*, Benjamin, New York, Amsterdam, 1969.

[Iw90] Z. Iwase, *Dehn surgery along a torus* T^2*-knot, II.*, Japan. J. Math. **16** (1990), 171196.

[JW54] I. M. James and J. H. C. Whitehead, *The homotopy theory of sphere bundles over spheres,*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) I: **4** (1954), 196–218; II: **5** (1955), 148–166.

[Kr99] M. Kreck, *Surgery and duality*, Ann. Math. **149** (1999), 707–754.

[Le65] J. Levine, *A classification of differentiable knots*, Ann. of Math. **82** (1965), 15–50.

[Le69] J. Levine, *Self-equivalences of* $S^n\times S^k$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (1969), 523–543.

[MA] *http://www.map.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/High_codimension_embeddings*, Manifold Atlas Project (unrefereed page).

[MAK] *http://www.map.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/Knotted_tori#Examples*, Manifold Atlas Project (unrefereed page).

[MAL] *http://www.map.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/Links,_i.e._embeddings_of_non-connected_manifolds#General_position_and_the_Hopf_linking*, Manifold Atlas Project (unrefereed page).

[MAP] *http://www.map.him.uni-bonn.de/Parametric_connected_sum.*, Manifold Atlas Project (unrefereed page).

[MAW] *http://www.map.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/Embeddings_just_below_the_stable_range:_classification#The_Whitney_invariant*, Manifold Atlas Project (unrefereed page).

[Mo83] J. M. Montesinos, *On twins in the four-sphere, I*, Quart. J. Math Oxford (2) **34** (1983), 171-199.

[MR71] R. J. Milgram and E. Rees, *On the normal bundle to an embedding*, Topology **10** (1971), 299–308.

[7] If either $2\le p\ge n-2$ or ($n\ge 2p+2$ and $p\equiv 2,4,5,6 \mod 8$), then $\pi_p(SO_{n-p})=0$. If $m\ge 2n+2-p$ and $n\ge 2p$, then $E^m(T^{p,n-p})=0$ by Remark 7.a. The dimension restriction in Corollary 17 can be replaced by any condition of this footnote.

[RSS05] D. Repovš, M. Skopenkov and F. Spaggiari, *On the Pontryagin-Steenrod-Wu theorem*, Israel J. Math. **145** (2005), 341-347; arXiv:math/0808.1209.

[Sk02] A. Skopenkov, *On the Haefliger-Hirsch-Wu invariants for embeddings and immersions*, Comment. Math. Helv. (2002), 78–124.

[Sk06] A. Skopenkov, *Classification of embeddings below the metastable dimension*; arxiv:math/0607422.

[Sk07] A. Skopenkov, *A new invariant and parametric connected sum of embeddings*, Fund. Math. **197** (2007), 253–269; arxiv:math/0509621.

[Sk08] A. Skopenkov, *Embedding and knotting of manifolds in Euclidean spaces, in: Surveys in Contemporary Mathematics, Ed. N. Young and Y. Choi*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes **347** (2008), 248–342; arxiv:math/0604045.

[Sk08'] A. Skopenkov, *Classification of smooth embeddings of 3-manifolds in the 6-space*, Math. Zeitschrift **260:3** (2008), 647-672; arxiv:math/0603429, DOI: 10.1007/s00209-007-0294-1.

[Sk10] A. Skopenkov, *A classification of smooth embeddings of 4-manifolds in 7-space, I*, Topol. Appl. **157** (2010), 2094-2110; arxiv:math/0512594.

[Sk10'] A. Skopenkov, *Embeddings of k-connected n-manifolds into $\mathbb{R}^{2n-k-1}$*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 3377–3389; arxiv:0812.0263 [math.GT].

[Sk11] M. Skopenkov, *When is the set of embeddings finite?*; arxiv:math/1106.1878.

[Sk15] A. Skopenkov, *Classification of knotted tori*; arXiv:1502.04470 [math.GT].

[Sm61] S. Smale, *Generalized Poincare's conjecture in dimensions greater than 4*, Ann. of Math. (2) **74** (1961), 391–466.